

LR Beam-Beam “Compensation”, RHIC

N. Abreu, R. Calaga, W. Fischer, G. Robert-Demoliaze

ATS Seminar, April 7, 2011

- DC Wires in RHIC, Motivation
- Long-range experiments
- Single compensation attempt


Ack - CERN: U. Dorda, J.-P. Koutchouk, G. Sterbini, F. Zimmermann
USLARP: A. Kabel, H.J. Kim, J. Qiang, T. Sen
BNL Technical Staff

Some Numbers

	# of Bunches	HO (LR) Interactions	Normalized Separation	$\Delta\phi$ of LR [deg]	ξ_{HO}	
weak-strong	SP(\bar{p})S	3	2 (9)	6σ	Distributed	0.028
	Tevatron	36	2 (36)	6σ	Distributed	0.018
strong-strong	RHIC	110	2 (4-40)	$>10\sigma$	6° (DX \rightarrow Wire)	0.016
	LHC	2808 (408)	4 (40-120)	6-15 σ	$\sim 2^\circ$	0.02

- Many localized LR interactions in the LHC
- Crossing angle to avoid parasitic collisions


Transverse Separation, LHC




Min Sep ~ 6.5 σ

Long-Range Effects

- Additional tune spread and orbits effects (PACMAN)
 - Mitigated by HV-crossing scheme for passive compensation
- Reduced dynamic aperture, lifetime
 - Mitigated by increasing x-angle (but aperture, non-linearities, SB resonances)



Long-Range & Wires



$\sigma \ll d:$

$$\Delta x'(x, d) = -\frac{K}{d} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{x}{d} + \frac{x^2}{d^2} + \dots\right)$$

Remember: collimators sit at 6σ




Why RHIC ?

- RHIC beam lifetime typical to a hadron collider
- Test DC wires with head-on beam-beam
- Localized long-range interactions like the LHC
- Strong-strong beam-beam

2 wires installed @IP6 in RHIC, 2006 shutdown

(supported by the US-LARP Program)

RHIC & LHC




Schematic diagram of the LHC interaction region. It shows two beams, labeled "Weak beam" and "Strong beam", entering from the left and right, passing through DX regions, and meeting at an IP. Wires are shown as green lines with red arrows indicating their position. The length of the interaction region is 105 m. The text "Courtesy, U. Dorda" is present.

2 Head-on, (max 4) Long-Range

4 Head-on, 40-120 Long-Range


RHIC DC Wires

$$IL = N_b e c$$



- Based on experience from SPS
- Vertically movable wire in each ring
- Air cooled, $\Delta T_{\max} = 15\text{K}$

quantity	unit	value
strength (IL), nominal	A m	9.6
max. strength (IL) _{max}	A m	125
length of wire L	m	2.5
radius of wire r	mm	3.5
number of heat sinks n	.	2
electrical resistivity ρ_e	$\Omega \text{ m}$	1.72×10^{-8}
heat conductivity λ	$\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$	384
thermal expansion coeff.	K^{-1}	1.68×10^{-5}
radius of existing pipe r_p	mm	60
current I , nominal	A	3.8
max. current I_{\max}	A	50
current ripple $\Delta I/I$ (at 50 A)	10^{-4}	< 1.7
electric resistance R	m Ω	1.12
max. voltage U_{\max}	mV	55.9
max. power P_{\max}	W	2.8
max. temp. change ΔT_{\max}	K	15
max. length change ΔL_{\max}	mm	0.4
vertical position range	mm/ σ_y	65/10.6



Overview of Experiments


Approximately, 30 dedicated experiments performed over 5 years
 {Proton, deuterons, Copper, Gold: 26, 100, 250 GeV}

- Effect of single LR interaction (protons)
- DC Wire on single beams (Gold & deuterons)
- DC wires with HO collisions & “compensation” of LR (protons)

— 2005-06
 = 2007-08
 ≡ 2009

fill no	ring	scan	species	rel. bunches γ	per ring	Q_x	Q_y	LR location	LR strength (IL) Am	LR separation d σ	fitted exponent p	d for $\tau < 20$ h σ	comment
2005													
6981	B	1	p	25.963	1	0.7331	0.7223	IP4	5.3	B moved			weak signal
6981	Y	1	p	25.963	1	0.7267	0.7234	IP4	5.3	B moved			weak signal
6981	B	2	p	25.963	1	0.7351	0.7223	IP4	5.8	B moved			weak signal
6981	Y	2	p	25.963	1	0.7282	0.7233	IP4	5.8	B moved			weak signal
6981	B	3	p	25.963	1	0.7383	0.7247	IR4 DX	8.6	Y moved			weak signal
6981	Y	3	p	25.963	1	0.7271	0.7218	IR4 DX	8.6	Y moved			weak signal
6981	B	4	p	25.963	1	0.7394	0.7271	IR4 DX	8.9	Y moved	4.9	6.5	
6981	Y	4	p	25.963	1	0.7264	0.7388	IR4 DX	8.9	Y moved	2.8		
2006													
7707	B	1	p	106.597	10			IR6 DX	6.7	B moved			weak signal
7707	Y	1	p	106.597	10			IR6 DX	6.7	B moved			weak signal
7707	B	2	p	106.597	10			IR6 DX	6.7	Y moved			weak signal
7707	Y	2	p	106.597	10			IR6 DX	6.7	Y moved			weak signal
7747	B	1	p	106.597	8			IR6 DX	7.9	B moved			weak signal
7747	Y	1	p	106.597	10			IR6 DX	7.9	B moved			weak signal
7747	B	2	p	106.597	8			IR6 DX	7.0	Y moved			weak signal
7747	Y	2	p	106.597	10			IR6 DX	7.0	Y moved			weak signal
7807	B	1	p	106.597	12	0.6912	0.6966	IR6 DX	8.2	Y moved	2.5	3.5	additional octupoles
7807	Y	1	p	106.597	12	0.7092	0.6966	IR6 DX	8.2	Y moved	1.5	3.5	additional octupoles
2007													
8231	B	1	Au	10.520	6	0.2327	0.2141	B-BBLR	12.5	B-BBLR moved	7.2	6.5	
8231	B	1	Au	10.520	6	0.2322	0.2140	B-BBLR	125	B-BBLR moved	7.8	9.0	
8405	B	1	Au	107.369	56	0.2260	0.2270	B-BBLR	125	B-BBLR moved	1.7	15.0	background test
8609	B	1	Au	107.369	23	0.2340	0.2260	B-BBLR	12.5	B-BBLR moved	7.4	6.0	
8609	B	2	Au	107.369	23	0.2340	0.2260	B-BBLR	125	B-BBLR moved	16.0	5.5	
8609	Y	1	Au	107.369	23	0.2280	0.2350	Y-BBLR	12.5	Y-BBLR moved	4.8	9.5	
8609	Y	2	Au	107.369	23	0.2280	0.2350	Y-BBLR	125	Y-BBLR moved	4.1	7.5	
8727	B	1	Au	107.369	23	0.2200	0.2320	B-BBLR	12.5	B-BBLR moved	5.2	9.5	
8727	B	2	Au	107.369	23	0.2200	0.2320	B-BBLR	125	B-BBLR moved	8.1	10.0	
8727	B	1	Au	107.369	23	0.2320	0.2280	Y-BBLR	12.5	Y-BBLR moved	6.3	4.5	
8727	B	2	Au	107.369	23	0.2320	0.2280	Y-BBLR	125	Y-BBLR moved	10.8	5.0	
8727	B	3	Au	107.369	23	0.2320	0.2280	Y-BBLR	125-0	-6.5			ver. chromaticity 2-8
8727	B	4	Au	107.369	23	0.2320	0.2280	Y-BBLR	125	-6.5			ver. chromaticity 8
8727	B	5	Au	107.369	23	0.2320	0.2280	Y-BBLR	125-0	-6.5			
2008													
9664	B	1	d	107.369	12	0.2288	0.2248	B-BBLR	125	B-BBLR moved	3.8	17.0	end of physics store
9664	B	2	d	107.369	12	0.2288	0.2248	B-BBLR	75-125	5.8			end of physics store
2009													
10793	B	-	p	106.597	36	0.691	0.688	B-BBLR	125	B-BBLR moved			with head-on collisions
10793	Y	-	p	106.597	36	0.695	0.692	Y-BBLR	125	Y-BBLR moved			with head-on collisions
10793	B	-	p	106.597	36	0.691	0.688	IR6 DX	12.5	B-BBLR moved			LR compensation
10793	Y	-	p	106.597	36	0.695	0.692	IR6 DX	12.5	Y-BBLR moved			LR compensation

I: Meta-Stable Working Point




Move tunes closer to 10th order
 + octupoles ($\Delta Q \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$)

quantity	unit	value
proton energy	GeV	100.0
bunches per beam	...	12
bunch intensity	10^{11}	1.7
long-range location	m from IP	10.6
emittances $\epsilon_{x,y}$ (95%)	mm mrad	10-15
$\beta_{x,y}$, long-range location	m	105
tunes (Q_x, Q_y)	...	B(0.69,0.70) Y(0.71,0.69)
vertical separation	mm/ σ	1-11/0.7-6.3

I: Beam Losses, Position Scan


Observe Blue lifetime from movement of Yellow beam
Separation $8 \rightarrow 2 \sigma$




II: DC Wires in RHIC

quantity	unit	Blue	Yellow
beam energy E	GeV/nucleon	100	
rigidity ($B\rho$)	Tm	831.8	
number of bunches	...	6-56	
Norm. Emittance $\epsilon_{x,y}$	μrad	17	17
distance IP6 to wire center	m	40.92	
parameter K (at 50 A)	nm	-30.1	
hor. tune Q_x	...	28.234	28.228
hor. tune Q_y	...	28.226	29.235
β_x at wire location	m	1091	350
β_y at wire location	m	378	1067



Wires installed in both rings
2006 Shutdown



x3 difference in the vertical β -funtions
Wires placed below Blue, above Yellow



II: Wire Experiments, Single Beam







Yellow generally more sensitive
 Yellow β -function larger

II: Orbits and Tunes

Measurements agree well with Analytical formulae for wire kicks


$$\Delta y \propto \frac{1}{d_{sep}}$$




$$\Delta Q_{x,y} \propto \frac{1}{d_{sep}^2}$$

II: Onset of Beam Losses

Power law fits




II: Loss Rates & Simulations




Au @100 GeV
Wire Current, 50 A

Deuterons @100 GeV
Wire Current, 50 A



II: Beam Lifetime, Fitted Exponents




$$\tau = Ad^p$$

$p: 1.7 - 16$

SPS, $p \sim 5$

Tevatron, $p \sim 3$

Exponents were within limited range




III: LR Experiments, with Head-On

quantity	unit	Blue	Yellow
beam energy E	GeV	100	
rigidity ($B\rho$)	Tm	333.5	
number of bunches	-	36	
# of colliding bunches	-	30	
bunch intensity	10^{11}	1.7	1.7
norm. Emittance $\epsilon_{x,y}$	μrad	25,24	49,19
horizontal tune Q_x	...	28.691	28.232
vertical tune Q_y	...	29.688	29.692
chromaticities (ξ_x, ξ_y)	...	(+2, +2)	
β_x at wire location	m	556	1566
β_y at wire location	m	1607	576


Yellow Hor emittance big
(large error bar)

2009, Protons

Special 36x36 pattern




III: 50 Amp Wire Scans, 2 HO





Yellow Ring →


Yellow sensitive even in par position with 50 A



III: HO Vs. No HO, 50 A



III: LR “Compensation” Exp, 5A




$\beta_y \sim 1.45$ km (wire position)

$\beta_y \sim 170$ m (LR position)


$\epsilon_y \sim 4.2$ μm

LR separation $\sim 3.1 \sigma$


Beam \leftrightarrow wire separation $\sim 3.7 \sigma$



III: LR “Compensation” Exp, 5A




← Blue Ring
No visible effect




Yellow Ring →

Losses increase when compensation is removed !!!

Bunches With/Without Long Range



Yellow Ring





Bunches without LR suffer more losses due to the wire !!!

Conclusions


- Single long-range experiments reveal “weak” LR effects
 - Beam in a meta-stable state to observe losses
- DC wire experiments were carried out at RHIC
 - Onset of losses clearly visible, simulations show agreement within 1σ
 - Differences visible between the 2 beams
- LR effects are enhanced with head-on
- Single LR “compensation” attempt was performed
 - Improvement in Yellow lifetime was seen, but not reproduced in Blue beam

The best experiments are ahead of us!



A1: Tunes, With/Without Head-On



A2: Tunes, with & w/o Compensation



← No Compensation



Wire Compensation →

No observable tune changes in Yellow Ring.

